Machine learning

Reinforcement Learning

Hamid Beigy

Sharif University of Technology

January 3, 2022





1. Introduction

- 2. Non-associative reinforcement learning
- 3. Associative reinforcement learning
- 4. Goals, rewards, and returns
- 5. Markov decision process
- 6. Model based methods
- 7. Value-based methods
- 8. Policy-based methods
- 9. Reading

Introduction







- Reinforcement learning is what to do (how to map situations to actions) so as to maximize a scalar reward/reinforcement signal
- The learner is not told which actions to take as in supervised learning, but discover which actions yield the most reward by trying them.
- The trial-and-error and delayed reward are the two most important feature of reinforcement learning.
- Reinforcement learning is defined not by characterizing learning algorithms, but by characterizing a learning problem.
- Any algorithm that is well suited for solving the given problem, we consider to be a reinforcement learning.
- One of the challenges that arises in reinforcement learning and other kinds of learning is tradeoff between exploration and exploitation.



A key feature of reinforcement learning is that it explicitly considers the whole problem of a goal-directed agent interacting with an uncertain environment.





Experience is a sequence of observations, actions, rewards.

 $o_1, r_1, a_1, \ldots, a_{t-1}, o_t, r_t$

The state is a summary of experience

 $s_t = f(o_1, r_1, a_1, \ldots, a_{t-1}, o_t, r_t)$

In a fully observed environment

 $s_t = f(o_t)$



- Policy : A policy is a mapping from received states of the environment to actions to be taken (what to do?).
- Reward function: It defines the goal of RL problem. It maps each state-action pair to a single number called reinforcement signal, indicating the goodness of the action. (what is good?)
- Value : It specifies what is good in the long run. (what is good because it predicts reward?)
- Model of the environment (optional): This is something that mimics the behavior of the environment. (what follows what?)



An example : Tic-Tac-Toe



Consider a two-playes game (Tic-Tac-Toe)



Consider the following updating

 $V(s) \leftarrow V(s) + \alpha[V(s') - V(s)]$



 Non-associative reinforcement learning : The learning method that does not involve learning to act in more than one state.



Associative reinforcement learning : The learning method that involves learning to act in more than one state.



Non-associative reinforcement learning



- ▶ Consider that you are faced repeatedly with a choice among *n* different options or actions.
- After each choice, you receive a numerical reward chosen from a stationary probability distribution that depends on the action you selected.
- > Your objective is to maximize the expected total reward over some time period.
- ▶ This is the original form of the *n*-armed bandit problem called a slot machine.



- Consider some simple methods for estimating the values of actions and then using the estimates to select actions.
- Let the true value of action a denoted as $Q^*(a)$ and its estimated value at t^{th} play as $Q_t(a)$.
- ▶ The true value of an action is the mean reward when that action is selected.
- One natural way to estimate this is by averaging the rewards actually received when the action was selected.
- ▶ In other words, if at the t^{th} play action *a* has been chosen k_a times prior to *t*, yielding rewards $r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_{k_a}$, then its value is estimated to be

$$Q_t(a) = \frac{r_1 + r_2 + \ldots + r_{k_a}}{k_a}$$



Greedy action selection : This strategy selects the action with highest estimated action value.

$$a_t = rgmax_a Q_t(a)$$

- \triangleright ϵ -greedy action selection : This strategy selects the action with highest estimated action value most of time but with small probability ϵ selects an action at random, uniformly, independently of the action-value estimates.
- Softmax action selection : This strategy selects actions using the action probabilities as a graded function of estimated value.

$$p_t(a) = \frac{\exp^{Q_t(a)/\tau}}{\sum_b \exp^{Q_t(b)/\tau}}$$



- Environment represented by a tuple $< \underline{\alpha}, \underline{\beta}, \underline{C} >$,
 - 1. $\underline{\alpha} = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_r\}$ shows a set of inputs,
 - 2. $\underline{\beta} = \{0, 1\}$ represents the set of values that the reinforcement signal can take,
 - 3. $\underline{C} = \{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_r\}$ is the set of penalty probabilities, where $c_i = Prob[\beta(k) = 1 | \alpha(k) = \alpha_i]$.
- ► A variable structure learning automaton is represented by triple $< \beta, \alpha, T >$,
 - 1. $\beta = \{0, 1\}$ is a set of inputs,
 - 2. $\alpha = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_r\}$ is a set of actions,
 - 3. T is a learning algorithm used to modify action probability vector p.



▶ In linear reward- ϵ penalty algorithm $(L_{R-\epsilon P})$ updating rule for p is defined as

$$p_j(k+1) = \begin{cases} p_j(k) + a \times [1 - p_j(k)] & \text{if } i = j \\ p_j(k) - a \times p_j(k) & \text{if } i \neq j \end{cases}$$

when $\beta(k) = 0$ and

$$p_j(k+1) = \begin{cases} p_j(k) \times (1-b) & \text{if } i=j\\ \frac{b}{r-1} + p_j(k)(1-b) & \text{if } i \neq j \end{cases}$$

when $\beta(k) = 1$.

- Parameters $0 < b \ll a < 1$ represent step lengths.
- When a = b, we call it linear reward penalty(L_{R-P}) algorithm.
- When b = 0, we call it linear reward inaction (L_{R-I}) algorithm.

► In stationary environments, average penalty received by automaton is

$$M(k) = E[\beta(k)|p(k)] = Prob[\beta(k) = 1|p(k)] = \sum_{i=1}^{r} c_i p_i(k).$$

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} E[M(k)] < M(0)$$

A learning automaton is called optimal if

 $\lim_{k\to\infty} E[M(k)] = \min_i c_i$

• A learning automaton is called ϵ -optimal if

 $\lim_{k\to\infty} E[M(k)] < \min_i c_i + \epsilon$

for arbitrary $\epsilon > 0$



Associative reinforcement learning



The learning method that involves learning to act in more than one state.



Goals, rewards, and returns



- In reinforcement learning, the goal of the agent is formalized in terms of a special reward signal passing from the environment to the agent.
- The agent's goal is to maximize the total amount of reward it receives. This means maximizing not immediate reward, but cumulative reward in the long run.
- How might the goal be formally defined?
- In episodic tasks the return, R_t , is defined as

$$R_t = r_1 + r_2 + \ldots + r_T$$

• In continuous tasks the return, R_t , is defined as

$$R_t = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \gamma^k r_{t+k+1}$$

The unified approach



Markov decision process



- ► A RL task satisfing the Markov property is called a Markov decision process (MDP).
- ▶ If the state and action spaces are finite, then it is called a finite MDP.
- A particular finite MDP is defined by its state and action sets and by the one-step dynamics of the environment.

$$P_{ss'}^{a} = Prob\{s_{t+1} = s' | s_t = s, a_t = a\}$$

$$\mathcal{R}_{ss'}^{a} = E[r_{t+1} | s_t = s, a_t = a, s_{t+1} = s']$$

Recycling Robot MDP





- Let in state s action a is selected with probability of $\pi(s, a)$.
- Value of state s under a policy π is the expected return when starting in s and following π thereafter.

$$V^{\pi}(s) = E_{\pi}\{R_{t}|s_{t}=s\} = E_{\pi}\left\{\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\gamma^{k}r_{t+k+1}\middle|s_{t}=s\right\}$$
$$= \sum_{\pi}\pi(s,a)\sum_{s'}P^{a}_{ss'}[\mathcal{R}^{a}_{ss'}+\gamma V^{\pi}(s')].$$

Value of action a in state s under a policy π is the expected return when starting in s taking action a and following π thereafter.

$$Q^{\pi}(s,a) = E_{\pi}\{R_t | s_t = s, a_t = a\} = E_{\pi}\left\{\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \gamma^k r_{t+k+1} \middle| s_t = s, a_t = a\right\}$$



- Policy π is better than or equal of π' iff for all $s V^{\pi}(s) \ge V^{\pi'}(s)$.
- There is always at least one policy that is better than or equal to all other policies. This is an optimal policy.
- ▶ Value of state s under the optimal policy $(V^*(s))$ equals

$$V^*(s) = \max_{\pi} V^{\pi}(s)$$

▶ Value of action a in state s under the optimal policy ($Q^*(s, a)$ equals

$$Q^*(s,a) = \max_{\pi} Q^{\pi}(s,a)$$

Backup diagram for V* and Q*





- 1. Model-based RL
 - $1.1\,$ Build a model of the environment.
 - 1.2 Plan (e.g. by lookahead) using model.
- 2. Value-based RL
 - 2.1 Estimate the optimal value function $Q^*(s, a)$
 - 2.2 This is the maximum value achievable under any policy
- 3. Policy-based RL
 - 3.1 Search directly for the optimal policy π^* .
 - 3.2 This is the policy achieving maximum future reward.

Model based methods



- The key idea of DP is the use of value functions to organize and structure the search for good policies.
- We can easily obtain optimal policies once we have found the optimal value functions, or , which satisfy the Bellman optimality equations:

$$V^{*}(s) = \max_{a} E\{r_{t+1} + \gamma V^{*}(s_{t+1}) | s_{t} = s, a_{t} = a\}$$

=
$$\max_{a} \sum_{s'} P^{a}_{ss'} [\mathcal{R}^{a}_{ss'} + \gamma V^{*}(s')].$$

Value of action a in state s under a policy π is the expected return when starting in s taking action a and following π thereafter.

$$Q^{*}(s, a) = E\{r_{t+1} + \gamma \max_{a'} Q^{*}(s_{t+1}, a') | s_{t} = s, a_{t} = a\}$$

=
$$\sum_{s'} P^{a}_{ss'} \left[\mathcal{R}^{a}_{ss'} + \gamma \max_{a'} Q^{*}(s', a') \right].$$



Policy iteration is an iterative process

$$\pi_0 \xrightarrow{E} V^{\pi_0} \xrightarrow{I} \pi_1 \xrightarrow{E} V^{\pi_1} \xrightarrow{I} \pi_2 \xrightarrow{E} \dots \dots \xrightarrow{I} \pi^* \xrightarrow{E} V^*$$

▶ Policy iteration has two phases : policy evaluation and improvement.

In policy evaluation, we compute state or state-action value functions

$$V^{\pi}(s) = E_{\pi}\{R_{t}|s_{t}=s\} = E_{\pi}\left\{\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \gamma^{k} r_{t+k+1} \middle| s_{t}=s\right\}$$
$$= \sum_{\pi} \pi(s,a) \sum_{s'} P^{a}_{ss'} \left[\mathcal{R}^{a}_{ss'} + \gamma V^{\pi}(s')\right].$$

In policy improvement, we change the policy to obtain a better policy

$$\pi'(s) = \operatorname{argmax}_{a} Q^{\pi}(s, a)$$
$$= \operatorname{argmax}_{a} \sum_{s'} P^{a}_{ss'} \left[\mathcal{R}^{a}_{ss'} + \gamma V^{\pi}(s') \right]$$



In value iteration we have

$$V_{k+1}(s) = \max_{a} E\{r_{t+1} + \gamma V_k(s_{t+1}) | s_t = s, a_t = a\}$$

=
$$\max_{a} \sum_{s'} P^a_{ss'} \left[\mathcal{R}^a_{ss'} + \gamma V^{(s')}_k \right].$$

Generalized policy iteration









Value-based methods



- ► These methods lean policy function implicitly.
- These methods first learn a value function Q(s, a).
- Then infer policy $\pi(s, a)$ from Q(s, a).
- Examples
 - Monte-carlo methods
 - Q-learning
 - SARSA
 - ► TD(λ)

Value-based methods

Monte Carlo methods

Monte Carlo (MC) methods

- MC methods learn directly from episodes of experience.
- ▶ MC is model-free: no knowledge of MDP transitions / rewards
- MC learns from complete episodes
- MC uses the simplest possible idea: value = mean return
- ▶ Goal: learn V_{π} from episodes of experience under policy π

$$S_1 \xrightarrow[R_1]{\alpha_1} S_2 \xrightarrow[R_2]{\alpha_2} S_3 \xrightarrow[R_3]{\alpha_3} S_4 \dots \xrightarrow[R_{k-1}]{\alpha_{k-1}} S_k$$

> The return is the total discounted reward:

$$G_t = R_{t+1} + \gamma R_{t+2} + \ldots + \gamma^{T-1} R_T$$

The value function is the expected return:

$$V_{\pi}(s) = E_{\pi}[G_t|S_t = s]$$

Monte-Carlo policy evaluation uses empirical mean return instead of expected return





► To evaluate state s

▶ The first time-step *t* that state *s* is visited in an episode, Increment counter

$$N(s) \leftarrow N(s) + 1$$

Increment total return

$$S(s) \leftarrow S(s) + G_t$$

Value is estimated by mean return

$$V(s) = rac{S(s)}{N(s)}$$

▶ By law of large numbers,

 $V(s) o v_\pi(s)$

as

 $N(s)
ightarrow \infty$



► To evaluate state s

Every time-step t that state s is visited in an episode, Increment counter

$$N(s) \leftarrow N(s) + 1$$

Increment total return

$$S(s) \leftarrow S(s) + G_t$$

Value is estimated by mean return

$$V(s) = rac{S(s)}{N(s)}$$

By law of large numbers,

 $V(s)
ightarrow v_{\pi}(s)$

as

 $N(s)
ightarrow \infty$







Value-based methods

Temporal-difference methods



- ▶ TD learning is a combination of Monte Carlo ideas and dynamic programming (DP) ideas.
- Like Monte Carlo methods, TD methods can learn directly from raw experience without a model of the environment's dynamics.
- Like DP, TD methods update estimates based in part on other learned estimates, without waiting for a final outcome (they bootstrap).
- Monte Carlo methods wait until the return following the visit is known, then use that return as a target for $V(s_t)$ while TD methods need wait only until the next time step.
- ▶ The simplest TD method, known as TD(0), is

$$V(s_t) \leftarrow V(s_t) + \alpha [r_{t+1} + \gamma V(s_{t+1}) - V(s_t)]$$







Algorithm for TD(0)

```
Initialize V(s) arbitrarily, \pi to the policy to be evaluated Repeat (for each episode):
```

- . Initialize s
- . Repeat (for each step of episode):

. .
$$a \leftarrow ext{action given by } \pi ext{ for } s$$

. . Take action a; observe reward, r, and next state, ${\it S}'$

.
$$V(s) \leftarrow V(s) + \alpha [r + \gamma V(s') - V(s)]$$

- . . $s \leftarrow s'$
- . until s is terminal



> An episode consists of an alternating sequence of states and state-action pairs:



SARSA, which is an on policy, updates values using

$$Q(s_t, a_t) \leftarrow Q(s_t, a_t) + \alpha \left[r_{t+1} + \gamma Q(s_{t+1}, a_{t+1}) - Q(s_t, a_t) \right]$$



> An episode consists of an alternating sequence of states and state-action pairs:



Q-learning, which is an off policy, updates values using

$$Q(s_t, a_t) \leftarrow Q(s_t, a_t) + \alpha \left[r_{t+1} + \gamma \max_a Q(s_{t+1}, a) - Q(s_t, a_t) \right]$$

Policy-based methods



- ▶ In policy-based learning, there is no value function.
- The policy $\pi(s, a)$ is parametrized by vector θ ($\pi(s, a; \theta)$).
- Explicitly learn policy $\pi(s, a; \theta)$ that implicitly maximize reward over all policies.
- Given policy $\pi(s, a; \theta)$ with parameters θ , find best θ .
- How do we measure the quality of a policy $\pi(s, a; \theta)$?
- Let objective function be $J(\theta)$.
- Find policy parameters θ that maximize $J(\theta)$.
- Sample algorithm: **REINFORCE**



- > Advantages of policy-based methods over value-based methods
 - Usually, computing Q-values is harder than picking optimal actions
 - Better convergence properties
 - Effective in high dimensional or continuous action spaces
 - Can benefit from demonstrations
 - Policy subspace can be chosen according to the task
 - Exploration can be directly controlled
 - Can learn stochastic policies
- Disadvantages of policy-based methods over value-based methods
 - Typically converge to a local optimum rather than a global optimum
 - Evaluating a policy is typically data inefficient and high variance

Reading



1. Chapters 1-6 of Reinforcement Learning: An Introduction (Sutton and Barto 2018).



Sutton, Richard S. and Andrew G. Barto (2018). *Reinforcement Learning: An Introduction*. Second edition. The MIT Press.

Questions?